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AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eric Gasperini.) So we'll begin tonight with this informational meeting about the Lane's Island Bridge. We have some representatives here from the state and also some bridge engineers and they're going to have about a 15 minute presentation and I'll allow them to introduce themselves because I've forgotten half of their names already.

MR. KITTREDGE: Thanks, Eric. My name is Joel Kittredge. I'm with the Maine Department of Transportation out of Augusta with the Bridge Program. My job there is to work with a bunch of different folks there to bring bridge projects to life from conception through design, advertising and construction. I'd like to thank Eric for the opportunity here to present. I'd like to thank you all for coming to talk about this and hear about this project that we're proposing. We look for information and feedback from you folks.

And I guess I would like to do some introductions here with the team, the project team, there is myself. Presenting tonight will be Peter Perkins. Peter works for CHA, which is Clough Harbour Associates out of -- I believe Peter is out
of Connecticut. He is also a structural engineer. He will be the engineer of record marching us through this design with the engineering analysis and ultimate recommendations. I'd also like to introduce Robin Dostie. She's the court reporter tonight. Her purpose is to capture the ebb and flow.

I'm sorry, has everybody been able to hear me so far?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Pamela Alley.) Could you speak up just a little bit?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Cay Kendrick.) Yeah, it's a little quiet.

MR. KITTREDGE: Okay. I can do this. So Robin Dostie is our court reporter. Her job is to catch the ebb and flow of the conversation tonight so that we when we go back to Augusta we can read the transcript and do searches on it, look for key words, find out what the issues were and make sure we're not missing anything as we develop the design as we go back through and take what we've heard from you folks and apply it to the engineered project.

And what I'd also like to do tonight, as a matter of public record, if we could have the local and state officials identify themselves for our record, please.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Eric Gasperini, chairman of the board of selectmen for the Town of Vinalhaven. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Pam Alley, select woman, selectman, select person.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Elizabeth Bunker, deputy town clerk.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Jake Thompson, selectman. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Donald Poole, selectman. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Andrew Dorr, town manager. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Phil Crossman, selectman. MR. KITTREDGE: Anybody else? Well, thank you for that and welcome. Thanks for having us. I've just got a couple of housekeeping things. You saw the ad somewhere either in the mail or on the website or in the newspaper or flier this was posted speaking about this project this evening. There were also some letters that should have gone out to some of the abutters in the vicinity, the general vicinity of the bridge. Also, there is a sign-in sheet, please make sure if you would please to sign-in so we can have a record of all of the people that attended this evening. I've also got some business cards over there that $I$ would encourage you to pick up so that as this project develops you can contact me directly with any questions or
comments or even to find out, you know, what the status of this is moving forward. There is also some comment cards, self-addressed, not stamped, envelopes so that after this meeting if you are, you know, on your way home or two days from now you think about it and say, jeez, I'd really like to know that and you don't have a business card, you can drop that, write your question or comment on that and get it in the mail and I will get it. And there is also copies of the presentation there if you'd like for later use. So you're welcome to all of those, please help yourself.

The purpose of tonight's meeting is MaineDOT in our project development process we need to have public input. By statute -- by federal statute we need to have a public process and how we do it at the DOT is we generally have a minimum of two meetings. This meeting tonight is what we call a preliminary public meeting. It's generally where we will come and we will show some really general high elevation views of the project and we will listen. We want to hear from you folks what it is we need to know and what it is that we don't know so that we can move this project forward taking into account all things. The worst thing that we want to do is to get closer
to recommendation and have something come out of the woodwork that, you know, was not considered and it should have been considered and shame on us we didn't know about it. So we're really here tonight to listen after our presentation and we want to hear from you folks.

So there is a public contact requirement by law. Again, this is the first of two meetings. The second meeting, which will be six months from now or so, hopefully, that will be what we'll call a formal public meeting. That is where we will have taken all of what we heard from you, Peter and his group, the design team will put the pen to paper and do the engineering with all of those things under consideration and we would come back and make a presentation showing you our formal recommendation. So the preliminary, again, just to reiterate, we're here to listen this evening. The formal will be later on in six months. We're still here to listen, but really it's where we will be presenting to you.

I would like to back up just for a minute. There were a couple of things that came up that I was made aware of at the last minute. Apparently, there was a report done, the environmental -- there was another handout over there, the environmental sheet,
and this was developed by the Department specifically for this Lane Island project. We are aware of the scenic inventory that was done by DeWan and Associates. It was some years ago, you know, addressing the visual character and characteristics and concerns of this area at Indian Creek and Carvers Harbor, so we are aware of that and cognizant that, you know, this needs to be -- this is one of many issues, cultural, environmental, historical aspects that needs to be addressed as we move forward through this project, so that will not be overlooked. And then also the handout there, the double-side sheet, which is the other environmental information sheet that $I$ think folks will probably find interesting. I would hope so. And very relevant to probably a lot of our discussion this evening. And I would just like to talk just briefly through this. I don't want to read to you folks, but I'm on the front page there that says what natural resources are present. The first big bullet, you know, we have -- we know that there is a variety of fish and wildlife species and we know we need to comply with state and federal law to evaluate those impacts that we might or might not have on those species. We need to determine how that bridge
improvement, whatever it is that we do, whether it's a rehabilitation or a replacement, what those impacts are going to be especially during construction. There are things that we can do to mitigate those impacts of concern. We can build a smaller bridge. We can do a smaller footprint. We can work specific times of year. There is a whole bunch of things that are in our toolbox to make sure that we are minimizing the environmental impacts. And, again, it talks about the state and federal local laws on that sheet as well. Again, there is a great deal of federal, state -- federal and state oversight on these projects that we do. This has federal money in it so therefore we follow federal regulations.

On the back sheet there we talked about the 106 National Historic Preservation Act and, you know, we need to consider the effects of what we're doing on that. At this point in time, we don't -- we don't know whether or not this bridge is on -- is a historic bridge. We're not sure yet. We're still evaluating it. We're moving forward at this point in time as if it is. We are at this point in time considering that it is National Register eligible and what that means, again, is that we will be evaluating all options. I know in the public notice it said
replacement of the bridge. When this came out of our Planning Bureau we were not aware -- we were not aware that it was either historic or non-historic, National Register or non-eligible, so we proceeded that it was -- like as if it was not and that dictated that we would go ahead and say it's a replacement. We found out, again, we're not sure, we're assuming that it is, so we're going to do a total analysis of the options. Do nothing, rehabilitate it or replace it.

You'll see tonight's presentation was really built around -- we threw a couple of alignments up here for this presentation this evening to just say that, jeez, you know this is kind of what we're thinking if it's a replacement structure this is what it's going to look like. I just want to stress that a decision has not been made. This is for illustrative purposes to get a conversation started here and to hear about the things we need to know as we move this budget forward. You know, no decisions have been made. I just want to make sure everybody understands that.

So with that, how it will work tonight, Peter will do the -- make his presentation. He's got a few slides on the PowerPoint. It will be -- it's
very brief. What we'll do after he's done with that, we'll open it up to questions and comments. I would ask, you know, once we're done and we do open it up that you would state your name for the public record so Robin can capture it so we can know who is saying what at the meeting so we'll have that record. So with that, I'll turn it over to Peter.

MR. PERKINS: Thank you. Thank you all for coming. Thank you for having me here. My name is Peter Perkins. I'll be the bridge engineer for the project. As Joel said, this is a preliminary public meeting. Nothing has been decided yet. We've just been looking at some options.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Pamela Alley.) Could you just move that a little bit? I can't really see very good. Oh, perfect. I don't want to take away from the audience, but.

MR. PERKINS: Is that good for everyone here too?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Pamela Alley.) Thank you.

MR. PERKINS: Okay. You probably are all familiar with the location of the bridge. It connects Vinalhaven with Lane's Island. A little background on the bridge and some existing
conditions. It was built in 1954. The current bridge that's there, it replaced a timber bascule bridge that was there previous. The current bridge is about 100 feet long. It's seven spans. It consists of a steel girder span and then some concrete slab spans. It's 14 feet wide curb-to-curb. It carries a single lane with no shoulders. And the latest traffic report says it's about averaging 112 cars per day. Obviously, that's mostly in the summertime to make up for the lack of traffic during the winter months.

The Department of Transportation inspects their bridges every two years -- at a minimum of every two years. The result of that inspection is an inspection report that lists the bridge condition and load rating. So this bridge has what they call a sufficiency rating of 16.2 and that's out of 100. That's 0 to 100. So zero meaning the bridge is completely failed and 100 meaning it's a brand new bridge, so this bridge is pretty low. Usually less than 40 we're considering replacing the bridge. It has a superstructure condition of 5 . This is a condition rating based on a scale of 0 to 9 where 9 is perfect condition and zero is poor condition. Usually anything less than a 4 needs attention, so
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this is -- the superstructure is a 5. It's pretty low. The inspection report lists that there is some spalling of concrete. The guardrailing is substandard. The substructure, that's the part that holds the bridge up, is listed at condition 4 and it's listed as many voids in the granite stone. So the substructure is an issue on this bridge right now and that's what we want to give attention to. The other thing the inspection report noted is the substandard guardrail both on the approaches and on the bridge.

That's an aerial view of the bridge. You can see it's got a curved alignment. The bridge is not actually curved. It's made up of a series of tangent segments. Why it was built like that, I don't know. It must have -- they must have tried to connect the two closest points of rock or something at the time, but that presents some geometric challenges with looking at replacing the bridge.

That's an elevation view of the bridge. If you live around here you're all familiar with it. There is the main channel. That's a steel girder bridge. And then you can see the slab spans beyond that towards Lane's Island. There is rip rap slopes on all four sides. You can see the granite block
piers and you can see the many gaps between the stones, some larger gaps. The under water report reports, you know, the same condition under the water line. They don't -- they noted that they don't know whether stones have been dislodged or whether it was built that way, but that's why the substructure has a condition 4 and in need of attention.

Those are some pictures of the approaches. And so this is where the first thing we do is we talk about possibilities. What's wrong with the bridge, so we know that from the inspection report and what are some possibilities? Well, one possibility is to do nothing. And that certainly doesn't correct any of the deficiencies, so that's not really an option. We could do a rehabilitation like rehabilitate the superstructure, but that doesn't correct the foundations. We could also replace the bridge, do a new bridge. You can put the bridge on the existing alignment that would not allow you to use the existing bridge while the bridge is being replaced, so it would require perhaps a temporary bridge or alternate means to get people on and off the island or you can build a new bridge on an alternate alignment. The other thing that's not in here that Joel mentioned about the historic aspect is if we
could do a substructure rehabilitation, when we were first initially looking at this, a substructure rehabilitation on this type of bridge is very expensive and so generally we wouldn't consider that. It would be a substructure replacement rather than trying to fix those granite block piers, but for a historic structure that will be an option that we'll have to look at and consider.

So a 30,000 foot look at what might -- what the bridge might look like if we were to replace it. This is what I'll call an on-line replacement or replace the bridge in its existing location. So this dashed line here that represents a possible location of where we would have to build a temporary access. I don't know what that would be, a temporary bridge of some sort. And then the new bridge could consist of two spans. They'd be -- we'd curve the deck and we'd build straight beams, so it would give the effect of a curved structure. This would have to have a joint in the middle because the beams would have to change direction. So from a structural standpoint, from my standpoint that's highly undesirable. The joints in bridges are always a problem. They leak and they cause deterioration and the -- your new bridge will be falling apart sooner
rather than later, so, you know, that's -- as a structural engineer that's an undesirable condition.

Another option would be to build a completely straight bridge, get rid of the joint in the middle of the bridge and try and keep it as close to the original alignment as possible, so it could look something like this. Again, you need temporary access so that would be -- it makes sense to build that temporary access on this side of the bridge and then build a straight bridge, have some type of alignment to get across the straight bridge.

Another option would be to build a new bridge off-alignment and it could look something like this where we'd tie-in, build straight across where I showed the temporary bridge, this time we'd maintain the existing bridge while this bridge is built and then cross over and then the status of the old bridge is up for discussion purposes. It could remain. It could just -- maybe just the main span removed or maybe all of it removed.

So what's the schedule we're looking at? Currently, we're in preliminary design, you know, this meeting reaching out is to get ideas from the public to find out what we need to do to advance this design. Our plan is to finalize a preliminary design
at the end of this year. Then we'd complete final design at the end of next year. We'd advertise construction at the end of next year and then construction would be in 2019.

The budget right now it's -- this bridge is in a shared program, cost program. State and federal funds will both be used. Right now, the engineering is programmed for 150,000 and the right of way is programmed at 15,000. Construction cost is programmed at 1.2 million. And construction engineering is programmed at 150,000.

And any questions, you can ask me and Joel Kittredge has his business card here.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Deborah Pixley.) I'd like to know what the -- the task that was going on yesterday.

MR. PERKINS: Would you state your name, please, for the record?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Deborah Pixley, Vinalhaven. The tests were going on yesterday and today with all of the trucks and closing down the bridge, did you get the information that quickly to make the assessment on how the bridge was? Were those assessments -- is that what they were doing?

MR. PERKINS: No, they were out there
boring. That's a boring program. They're taking bores of the soil and the rock so we can do the bridge engineering on the structure, so that's what they're doing. They're gathering more information. The bridge -- I don't have the date of when the bridge was inspected. It was inspected previously.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Deborah Pixley.) Yup.
MR. PERKINS: So they'll be out there for a couple of more days because we were out talking with the driller today and he said it's tough going, so it's going slower than he thought.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) That must mean it's in better shape, right?

MR. PERKINS: Well, he's drilling through the fill in the approach, so it's just granite cobbles and boulders in there and he's trying to drill through those. And I think he's -- I think he's going to drill three holes and he's just finished one.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Dinah Moyer. D-I-N-A-H, M-O-Y-E-R. So we live -- we're direct abutters to the existing bridge, so does Alternate 2 seem like a good option because Alternate 3 brings that bridge like 50 feet closer to our house.

MR. FAULKNER: Bring the slide up.

MR. PERKINS: Yes. From a structural standpoint, I would suggest, yeah, that works for me. You get rid of the joints in the bridge, so from my perspective -- now, from an alignment perspective, that's not as nice. I mean, you've got sharper angles --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Dinah Moyer.) Right.
MR. PERKINS: -- trying to get onto the bridge.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Dinah Moyer.) Right.
MR. PERKINS: -- so, you know, I don't know how big a truck comes out there, but oil tanker truck maybe, school busses for the Lane's Island Reserve?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Kathy Warren.) No. No.
MR. PERKINS: No? They don't bring kids out there?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) Christ, they can't afford to live out there.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Dinah Moyer.) Jeff, behave yourself.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) Sorry.
MR. PERKINS: You live?
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Dinah Moyer.) We live -if you go down to the bottom of the slide.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) Down.

Nope. Next door.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Dinah Moyer.) We live right here and Jeff's brother lives there.

MR. PERKINS: Okay.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Dinah Moyer.) Yes, so that would be a big effect. That would be...

MR. PERKINS: Oops. I think I had failure here. Okay.

MR. FAULKNER: There is a question here.
MR. PERKINS: Any more questions?
AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Arlene
Rodenbeck. A-R-L-E-N-E, R-O-D-E-N-B-E-C-K. And our property is at the upper left corner of your map. To the left right at the edge of the map. It's that one. In the slide that shows the existing conditions, did you do a count of the pedestrians?

MR. PERKINS: I did not see a count of pedestrians in our information.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Arlene Rodenbeck.) Okay. Because my perception is that it's proportionately higher than you would see people walking across any old bridge because it's, you know, it's part of the experience of coming here is walking over that bridge. The other question that's similar to hers is do any of the alternatives involve taking any private
property?
MR. PERKINS: I don't know that yet. You know, this is -- it may depend on how far it comes over. I think it says wrought portion, which is -and the limit is high tide, so these pink lines are all of the information we've gotten from the Right of Way Department at the Maine Department of Transportation.

MR. FAULKNER: Pete.
MR. PERKINS: Oh, yes. I'm sorry.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Jeff Moyer. I'm an abutter. Dinah's wife -- ah, husband. If you go on that side there, my brother's property owns -- he owns right up to the bridge right now. As a matter of fact, back in the old days the person that owned the house before us they changed the bridge. My house is actually on the same path as the old draw bridge that used to be there. And my brother's property, which was my father's, took into the shores when they actually built that bridge that is there now. So then, again, you're going to take some more of his property, so.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Dinah Moyer.) Or maybe not.

MR. FAULKNER: Right.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) Well, maybe not, but in that view they're going to take out a bunch.

MR. PERKINS: Yeah, this particular
alignment --
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) That doesn't really work for me.

MR. PERKINS: Yeah, it was preliminary and what -- the bridge is located where we could build a retaining system and have room to maintain the existing road while building the new abutment --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) I know.
MR. PERKINS: -- so that's why that bridge in this alternative is positioned where it is.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) But I'm going to have -- it doesn't matter, either Prock or Cianbro, they're going to be banging off the front of my dock, you know, and their barge is sitting right there as is Prock's barge is sitting right next to the corner of my house on my neighbor's property right now and holy smokes, it's -- I'm going to have to move out.

MR. PERKINS: I don't know what types of foundations we'll be having here, what kind of work they'll have yet. That hasn't been determined yet.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) Oh, I know.
MR. PERKINS: The Department of
Transportation has a very strict control over their contractors and they have a resident engineer on site 100 hundred percent of the time during construction --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) Mmm Hmm.
MR. PERKINS: -- and he's the liaison between the contractor and the public, so certainly any concerns you have can be brought up to the engineer at that time.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) Yup. But also then you're going to have to start thinking about the real estate part of the deal. I get charged a lot -- a bunch for property taxes. If you put a new bridge in there my real estate is going to go down, but my taxes aren't going to go down.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eric Gasperini.) Yes, ma'am, I don't know your name.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ruth Cutler. I walk that bridge a lot and thank you for your comments. With two badly behaved dogs it would be nice to keep it narrow and curved because it slows the people down. Speed is --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) That's
true.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: Here here.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Ruth Cutler.) Keeping the speed down would be good. Also, I live in a relatively small town in Connecticut that's under 4,000 or around 4,000 people and budget is really a big consideration for us. We've looked into timber built bridges, which have a nice wood rail to them, you know, and are actually a lot less expensive to build and built out of oak, you know, from Connecticut. They're actually relatively, you know, at least cheaper in the long run to build, but I'm not sure if esthetically that's what people want, but it is something that you might look into. It has passed Connecticut DOT standards which are pretty -sometimes pretty horrible. So it's a possibility in terms of budget.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eric Gasperini.) Kathy.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm Kathy Warren. I spent three years living in the bottom right-hand corner down there. Is the road getting any wider?

MR. PERKINS: No. So we talked about preliminary, again, preliminarily what the bridge width proposed bridge width would be --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Kathy Warren.) Yup.

MR. PERKINS: -- and we would propose 14 feet, you know, keep it the same width.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Deborah Pixley.) Height?
MR. PERKINS: If there were a strong public opinion to have it different than that, you know.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Kathy Warren.) Height?
Is the height about the same or?
MR. PERKINS: You mean the depth of the superstructure or the elevation of the road?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Kathy Warren.) The elevation of the road off the water.

MR. PERKINS: We -- you know, I purposely didn't draw that because that's still under consideration right now.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Kathy Warren.) Yup.
MR. PERKINS: One of the requirements the Department has is to have the lowest portion of the superstructure 1 foot above the design flood elevation. When we're in a coastal environment like this there are other desirability to have that lowest part of the structure be above wave action for a certain design storm.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Kathy Warren.) I've probably spent as much time as anybody driving across that bridge in the winter two or three times a day
for three years, so, yeah, those things especially when it's icy are a lot of consideration.

MR. PERKINS: Okay.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: George Kendrick. I'm an abutter also. Hi, Joel.

MR. KITTREDGE: Hey.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (George Kendrick.) So I sent Joel some information earlier today about sort of historic aspects of this and the visual impact issues and the visual resource quality of this structure. I don't know, Peter, if you've seen the historic photos of this --

MR. PERKINS: Mmm Hmm.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (George Kendrick.) -- but the reason you're seeing granite voids of course is it's a cribstone structure underneath all of this, so it's not anything falling out so much as that's how it's designed and the original photos show that. So it's very similar to the crib stone bridge in Harpswell that you guys rebuilt and did it with granite and preserved the historic characteristics of it. One of the things that's important about this -if you could go back to the prior slide here. The prior alignment. Yeah. The original structure actually was crib stone all the way from bridge side,
the house which is on the left -- the upper left of the --

MR. PERKINS: From back here.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (George Kendrick.) Yeah.
It was actually cribstone further to the left of your finger. That's all fill that you're looking at.

MR. PERKINS: Right.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (George Kendrick.) And then the same thing on the other side was crib stone all the way around with the wooden drawbridge in the center. That was in the 19- -- at least the 1920's, something like that. So that explains that
alignment. That's how the granite structure was originally built. I would really like to see the DOT consider the historic aspects of this in the design to try and preserve the granite, the visibility of the structure and the revetment of this whole island was built economically on granite, so it seems like an appropriate thing rather than concrete. So
whatever we can do to use granite here seems to be the most logical for preserving the historic character and the visual aspects of it.

Structurally, $I$ know you can do it. You did it in Harpswell, Bailey Island, I know you can do it here. I understand there may be a cost element to that, but
we don't want to sacrifice the visual aspects of the highly used by tourists spot for saving a couple hundred thousand dollars and using concrete instead. So if it's engineering possible I prefer to see granite rather than concrete wherever you can do it here.

The second thing is your alignments that are moving to the south that are going to generate more wetland impacts are probably going to be a non-starter. If you look at the cumulative impacts of the original fill here, you're already approaching a half an acre of fill. I think if we raised that question about cumulative impacts going back historically the Corps will probably say no to filling this in and I'd be opposed to any more fill in that area. It's a pretty high value habitat. So sticking with the original alignment is my preference. Trying to preserve the historic character is my preference. Not raising it so high that it looks like the Little John Island Bridge that is essentially a big bump in the air. How you do the approach and how you gradually achieve that height, I understand we need to address sea level rise and all of that. But one of the things I would like not to see happen as you do that is to create this as a high
volume larger boat passageway because right now Indian Creek is a very sensitive area in terms of erosion. I live in the -- just in the upper left, you know, our boathouse is an abutter there. We're already having erosion happening from higher speed boat traffic through there and wave action, so if we allow any larger boats to go through at higher speeds, particularly at mid-tides and higher, now we're talking about an erosion issue accelerating on the sides of Indian Creek. So keeping in mind we don't want to increase the boat traffic through there and perhaps try and limit the size of boats that can go through there. Right now, it's nice to not have everyone be able to go through there at full speed. It's posted as wakeless but not everybody pays attention to that.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Dinah Moyer.) Ugh...
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (George Kendrick.) I know. I know. That's... But anyway, that's my primary points.

And a couple of questions I've got. The traffic volume study, who did that and is that data available?

MR. KITTREDGE: That data is certainly available and it's a DOT study.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (George Kendrick.)
Internal?
MR. KITTREDGE: Excuse me?
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (George Kendrick.)
Internal?
MR. KITTREDGE: Yes, sir.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (George Kendrick.) Okay.
How about the Section 106 study, who did that?
MR. KITTREDGE: Well, there hasn't been a 106 study yet. That's what we need to do.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (George Kendrick.) So it says there was an architectural study done already.

MR. KITTREDGE: There has been -- we hired a consultant to go out and evaluate to try to determine whether or not it was a historic spectrum.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: And who -- can you tell me who --

MR. KITTREDGE: Kleinfelder.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (George Kendrick.)
Kleinfelder.
MR. KITTREDGE: Kleinfelder, right.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (George Kendrick.) And who is handling the permitting?

MR. KITTREDGE: It will be DOT. Our Environmental Office.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (George Kendrick.) And the field studies and characterization and...

MR. KITTREDGE: Excuse me, the characterization and what?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (George Kendrick.) All of the data that you're going to be generating.

MR. KITTREDGE: Yeah, that will be the Department.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (George Kendrick.) Are you doing scour analysis in-house or is that --

MR. KITTREDGE: We will be. That's on the contractor.

MR. PERKINS: Right.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (George Kendrick.) Okay. Those are my real questions about it. The last thing is a minor one, when you're talking about guardrail, absolutely the one that's there is, you know, sort of like tinker toys, but $I$ would also ask that you not use guardrail per se and that we stick with cable on there. It's safer anyway. It's been proved on the interstates to be safer and it's less visual impact. So whatever we can do, again, to minimize the visual impacts on this project would be my preference. Thanks.

MR. KITTREDGE: Thank you.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eric Gasperini.) Steve.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: Steve Rosen. Are there rules when you redo bridges like this that you have to be certain heights to get into the new flood zoning and planning and do they have to be two lanes like Ruth was talking about keeping it one lane?

MR. PERKINS: No, there are guidelines that you try to do. The Department has a rule for bridges like this not to make them two lanes, to maintain them a single lane.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Steve Rosen.) I mean, how --

MR. PERKINS: So the maximum width they might consider might be 15 feet curb-to-curb.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Steve Rosen.) How often do you take in the town's opinions?

MR. PERKINS: Every project. That's why we're here. We're here tonight, after we hear what you've said there will be more advancement of alternatives and then we'll come back for more public -- formal public hearing.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Steve Rosen.) I've got one more question too. The construction is 1.2 million, does that include a temporary bridge if you go that route?

MR. PERKINS: That's -- 1.2 million is a value that the Department has programmed for this. No analysis has been done about how much this structure will cost yet. That's just they go, ah, similar bridges are about 1.2 million.

MR. KITTREDGE: I would add to that, Peter, I would add to that though I think, you know, the more we dig into this that we'll find that that 1.2 million is inadequate. Way inadequate.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Steve Rosen.) Yeah.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) And the time zone start to finish?

MR. PERKINS: For construction?
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) Yes. I know up in North Haven they did the Pulpit Harbor Bridge --

MR. PERKINS: Yup.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) -- and they just blew that one right out of the water. It's been a couple years and they're not even done yet. And the bridge is only -- how long is it?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Dinah Moyer.) Probably 50 or 60 feet.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) Yeah.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Elizabeth Bunker.) I
thought they were done now. It's open.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Dinah Moyer.) It might be done now.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Elizabeth Bunker.) It's done now.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Dinah Moyer.) Yeah.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Elizabeth Bunker.) It's done now.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) Plus
they've been a long time.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Elizabeth Bunker.) Just recently.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Dinah Moyer.) Yeah. Yeah.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Elizabeth Bunker.) Yeah.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) And the only thing I'm concerned about is once this project gets started, get it done fast rather than, you know, a year-and-a-half, two years. Jesus, by the time they get done pounding piles and drilling holes and blasting my house is going to settle about half a foot.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Kathy Warren.) And Michelle will be really happy for us to get to the grocery store.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) But, you know, whatever you've got to do.

MR. PERKINS: You know, certainly adding a temporary bridge adds duration to construction because they have to install it --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) Right.
MR. PERKINS: -- build the new bridge and then they have to remove it.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) Right. And then everything -- once they get the new -- the temporary bridge built things have a way of slowing down. You know, I'm a builder, I know how that goes.

MR. PERKINS: Yeah, I think the bridge contractors want to get in and get out. They make money by moving on to the next project --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) Right.
MR. PERKINS: -- so I don't think they -like I said, the DOT has tight control over construction. They'll have a resident engineer on full-time and one of the things a contractor has in their contract is a specified number of days to get it done and that resident engineer will be keeping the contractor on schedule.

MR. FAULKNER: Pete, you might want to add too there might be restrictions on work windows due
to habitat, sturgeon migration and things like that --

MR. PERKINS: Right.
MR. FAULKNER: -- so those are things that are outside the control of the contractor that we need to abide to to satisfy the environmental commitments.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eric Gasperini.) Right here in the middle, I'm sorry, I don't know your name, ma'am.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Ruth Cutler.) She can go first.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Dinah Moyer.) I was also -- obviously they'll be concerned with all of the water fowl like mallard ducks, there is osprey, there is Bald Eagles, there is king fishers, there is all kinds of water fowl that are on both sides of the bridge, you know, on the Indian Creek side and the Carvers Harbor side, so I'm assuming somebody will take that into consideration as well.

MR. KITTREDGE: Yes.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eric Gasperini.) Yes, right in the middle.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Ruth Cutler.) What is --
MR. PERKINS: Would you state your name,
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please?
AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ruth Cutler.
MR. PERKINS: Yup.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Ruth Cutler.) What is the actual length -- George mentioned the cribbing, but what's the actual length of the actual bridge structure?

MR. PERKINS: The existing one or the new one?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Ruth Cutler.) There is a total of 100 feet, but then there is a bridge in the middle, which I don't think is 100 feet. There is a lot of cribbing and then there is the opening.

MR. PERKINS: Yeah.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Ruth Cutler.) Because I would think that would make a difference in the design in the future. Did you say 22 feet?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Steve Rosen.) About 22 feet is the big opening.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Ruth Cutler.) Right.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) Well, I'll tell you, I did the, excuse me, but I did a measurement when $I$ was in school. I lived there all my life. 420 feet long from post to post.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Steve Rosen.) The
biggest opening is like -- I have a float that's 20 feet and there is about a foot on each side, so it's about 20 feet, 22 feet.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Ruth Cutler.) So the opening is 22 feet, so the bridge has got to be about 26 or something to hang over or something.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Steve Rosen.) I don't know.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Ruth Cutler.) It's okay. If you can't find it, but it's a consideration in terms of future design between the cribbing and the full bridge structure.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Kathy Warren.) Wide enough to fit the snow plow.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Ruth Cutler.) That's true.

MR. PERKINS: So this indicates the main span is 40 feet. The beam spans 40 feet, but then the stone comes out in front of it and narrows that channel down to less than 40 feet.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Ruth Cutler.) Okay.
MR. PERKINS: The other -- the concrete slab spans are less than that. So I think the ones -- and the piers, there is two concrete columns and I think that spans about 7 feet and then between it might --
it's something more than that, I'm not sure exactly what it is.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eric Gasperini.) Kathy. AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Kathy Warren.) Does the town -- once it goes out to bid and the bids come back, does the town have any role in choosing the contractor? An opinion, any of that sort of thing?

MR. KITTREDGE: No. No. I mean, we have -we have an approved list of contractors -- bridge contractors to bid on a bridge and you have to be on an approved list to be awarded a bridge and you have to be on an approved list. Any newbies or
contractors that bid on something that we have no experience with, they have to go to our pre-qualification committee where their credentials and their background and their abilities are investigated before we would be awarding a contract.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Kathy Warren.) Are you required to take the low bid?

MR. KITTREDGE: It's a low bid requirement, yes. However, if there is a discrepancy or, you know, if the bid is not responsive or not responsible then of course we would not go to that low bidder, you'd go to the next one.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Kathy Warren.) Yup.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eric Gasperini.)
Deborah.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: Deborah Pixley. The do nothing alternative, could you give us a little more insight into that? How bad is this compared to other bridges that have long, you know, all that sort of thing because that was an alternative.

MR. PERKINS: I don't know how long the bridge will last.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Deborah Pixley.) But it's not dangerous?

MR. PERKINS: It's not dangerous. The Department has done a capacity load rating and the bridge superstructure has sufficient capacity. It's the poor condition rating of the substructure that's driving the Department to take a look at the bridge and fix that before it's a problem.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eric Gasperini.) Cay and then Phil.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Cay Kendrick. I live up to the northwest. In the existing bridge one where you had the temporary straight bridge below it, would you have to fill for that temporary bridge?

MR. PERKINS: Maybe. Maybe not. There will certainly be environmental constraints on what the
contractor can and can't do. He may have to span it all so it may be a series of short spans on temporary piling of some sort.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Cay Kendrick.) Well, I guess the complimentary question to that is if you did any filling on abutments, could we be sure that that would be removed when the temporary bridge is taken out?

MR. PERKINS: Yes. Whatever the requirements are, you know, certainly they'll be put into the contract specifications and the contractor would be obligated to remove that. That would limit -- if fill were an option, you know, it would limit what he does for fill because whatever he puts in there he'd have to remove.

PARTICIPANT: (Jeff Moyer.) I've got
another question.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eric Gasperini.)
Actually, Jeff, I'm going to call on Phil.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) Okay.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eric Gasperini.) Did you have your hand raised, Phil?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Phil Crossman.) Yes.
When I was 10 years old, I lived on Lane's Island and I walked across two $2 \times 12$ s to get to school while they
were building that bridge and the guys working on it told me that there was a troll underneath there that was going to eat me if $I$ didn't move along. You won't do that, will you?
(Laughter.)
MR. PERKINS: I won't make you walk a $2 \times 12$. AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Phil Crossman.) Another question, what's your understanding of the precise conditions that make it necessary to rebuild this bridge as opposed to simply make the very obvious repairs that are needed to the guardrail on the Lane's Island side?

MR. PERKINS: I don't know that there is a precise number or precise situation. If the bridge fell down, I would say, yeah, you've got to fix it.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Phil Crossman.) Now, what's your understanding of the conditions that make this necessary?

MR. PERKINS: My understanding of the conditions is what I've seen from the inspection reports from the Department.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Phil Crossman.) And the inspection report cited the voids. What else?

MR. PERKINS: And concrete starting to spall. That means chunks of concrete are starting to
break and fall off. It appears to be probably a pre-existing damage condition, which is only going to accelerate or continue.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Phil Crossman.) In your concrete you're talking about the concrete span.

MR. PERKINS: That's right. Concrete spans and concrete substructure because there is concrete substructure sitting on top of the granite that is holding up the bridge in their notes in the inspection report about cracking through that concrete.

MR. KITTREDGE: And settlement too.
MR. PERKINS: And settlement.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Phil Crossman.) Was there something in the inspection report that made it clear that the voids in this crib structure were somehow indicative of a fault or a weakness?

MR. PERKINS: There are notes and pictures of granite blocks shearing where they show at the end of a granite block below -- in a course below, which would be indicative of settlement occurring and then the granite not being strong enough to take that differential load and the block above the joint cracking.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Phil Crossman.) Pictures
of this one?
MR. PERKINS: Of this bridge, yes.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Kathy Warren.) Is that a public report?

MR. PERKINS: Yes. Yup.
MR. KITTREDGE: We can make that available.
Andrew, I'll send it to you.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Andrew Dorr.) Okay.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eric Gasperini.) Jeff.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) Where is
the staging area going to be?
MR. PERKINS: It depends on what the option is. If the option is to go off-line he would probably stage in the approaches, in the approach areas because he would be building new approaches and then he would stage there. If the option is to build on the existing alignment he'd build a temporary bridge and probably push that temporary bridge as far away as he could and then he would stage on the existing road.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) That means all of the excavators and all of the dump trucks and all of the equipment that goes along with it they'd build a new piece of property, right, and then when they got done with it they'd tear it down? Because
it's all private property all around it.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Kathy Warren.) There is a nice parking lot nearby.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) Wonderful. I think it's called Moyer Street.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Kathy Warren.) I was thinking that.

MR. PERKINS: The contractor would probably not build a staging area. He would build a permanent footprint and stage in that permanent footprint, so he wouldn't remove that in the alternative if it were off-line.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) Mmm.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eric Gasperini.) Steve.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Steve Rosen.) Could you rebuild what's there now, like put new concrete on top of the stones?

MR. PERKINS: That's an option. Due to the cracking of the granite blocks though it would probably involve tearing the granite all the way down to bedrock and building it back up again.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Steve Rosen.) Has anyone actually looked at the granite? I've never seen anybody in a skiff under the bridge. I've always seen people on top of the bridge. I've been under
the bridge a bunch of times and never seen anybody there, but anyways, can we kick the can down the road further and put at load limit on the bridge and maybe have new concrete on top of the pilings that are there now, the granite pilings? Is that an option?

MR. PERKINS: Well, like I said, the fear is the granite is shifting and settling and that's the problem.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Steve Rosen.) Have they actually been under there and looked at it, I mean, you can see it from the top of the bridge, but has anybody actually --

MR. PERKINS: Yes. The Department of Transportation has an inspection where somebody has been in a skiff and taken pictures of all of the granite blocks. They've also hired a diver to go under water and do an inspection.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Steve Rosen.) I must have missed it.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) They have.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Steven Rosen.) Have
they, Jeff?
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jeff Moyer.) Yup, they used my front door yard.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Steve Rosen.) I must
have been out that day.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eric Gasperini.) Any other questions?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Just an observation. My name is Rob Iserbyt. I was wondering, you said every two years they do an inspection?

MR. PERKINS: A minimum, yup.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Rob Iserbyt.) And when they take notes on the inspections, do they actually see that say if you do, you know, six years ago you saw this, four years you saw this and two years ago you saw this. Are they seeing a trend that is telling them something over the course of that time or are they all of a sudden seeing cracks in the last inspection?

MR. PERKINS: I don't know. I haven't compared the historic reports. I've just looked at the most recent report.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Rob Iserbyt.) Okay.
MR. PERKINS: I believe all of the historic reports are available.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Rob Iserbyt.) Okay.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Kathy Warren.) Can you send us the last two or three when you send us the most recent one?

MR. KITTREDGE: Sure.
MR. FAULKNER: Joel, the under water
inspections are every four years, correct?
MR. KITTREDGE: I'm not sure. I'm not sure.
MR. FAULKNER: Okay.
MR. KITTREDGE: But we'll make sure the under water reports are included in that.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eric Gasperini.) George.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (George Kendrick.) So the -- you were showing some drawings that look like existing conditions drawings, are those available? Is that part of the existing report?

MR. KITTREDGE: We can make any information available to you. If you'd like to see existing plans we can do that. I'll be sending the existing plans to Andrew as well as the inspection report and if you'd like to see anything else, we can certainly make it available.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (George Kendrick.) I wonder on some projects, $I$ know this is a small project, but some of the DOT projects have like a web page established and you have links to PDFs which anybody can take a look at. That might be simpler for everybody here rather than having to request all of the stuff from Andrew or getting copies made or
things like that. Is that possible?
MR. KITTREDGE: It's possible. I could -it's easiest though as far as correspondence, it's really good to have one point of contact at the town.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Kathy Warren.) Andy, can't you put them on the town page?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Andrew Dorr.) We could. AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Kathy Warren.) For the public. So Andy can put them on the website.

MR. KITTREDGE: Yeah, okay, that would be great.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eric Gasperini.)
Michelle, did you have your hand up?
AUDIENCE MEMBER: Michelle O'Keefe, Lane's Island. You said that the new bridge on the existing alignment would be one that you would vote against because of -- what would be the most difficult because of having the joint in the middle. Is there any way of doing that design and changing it so the joint is not in the middle but maybe two joints or something different so that you're using the existing plan with as little impact on abutters perhaps and also the visual design is maintained?

MR. PERKINS: Well, there is a couple of points in your question. Let me start backwards, the
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visual design you say from a bird's eye view the visual design or from an elevation view looking at what the bridge is made out of, so there is two -AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Michelle O'Keefe.)

Looking at it horizontally as you come onto the curb. Maintaining the curb.

MR. PERKINS: Right. I don't know of any option at this point. I mean, there probably could be something that could be done. We could certainly look into options. But like I said, we haven't looked at -- developed any specific options that eliminates that joint.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Michelle O'Keefe.) And also in your study of the condition of the bridge, it's not just the middle. It is all under sections that you're looking at that are making you think that we need a new bridge.

MR. PERKINS: That is correct.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Cay Kendrick.) Does the existing bridge have joints in it?

MR. PERKINS: Yes. It must. Yup. That could be leading to the deterioration that I said, the spalling of the concrete, because water is getting down there. See, this old concrete didn't have -- typically wasn't air entrained, have
microscopic pockets of air in it and so when it absorbs water and freezes it breaks the concrete, breaks down the concrete bond. Modern concrete is made with microscopic pockets of air in it, so that when water gets in there and freezes it expands into those pockets and the concrete is much more durable. AUDIENCE MEMBER: (George Kendrick.) What's your design life for the new bridge?

MR. PERKINS: Typically 75 years.
MR. KITTREDGE: We'll be shooting for 100 years on this.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (George Kendrick.) So we're at 63 years right now and it's degraded to a level 5 you were saying earlier. At what point would it be -- this is an unfair question, but at what level would you say we've got to close this bridge because it's unsafe? A 3? A 2?

MR. PERKINS: No, that's -- first of all, those ratings are subjective. It's up to the inspector, the engineer who is doing the inspection to say, oh, this looks bad.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (George Kendrick.) Yeah.
MR. PERKINS: And there is some guidance of, well, you know, if you see this amount of deterioration give it this number. Those are visual
characteristic ratings, okay. There is also a strength rating, okay. And the Department did an analysis on the bridge and it has a strength rating that's acceptable to carry legal loads, that's why the bridge isn't closed because it's strong enough. What they're worried about is the durability of it and the longevity of it and they want to do something now before a big crack propagates up through the substructure and you have to close it --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (George Kendrick.) Right. MR. PERKINS: -- and then you're without a bridge.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (George Kendrick.) Right. AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Deborah Pixley.) Well, that's going to happen anyway.

MR. PERKINS: Some day.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (George Kendrick.) Well, that's where I was going with that question.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eric Gasperini.) Steve.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: Steve Rosen. Can you do load limits on the bridge? I mean, other bridges I've seen, you know, you can only have so much weight traveling over them. Is that a thought?

MR. PERKINS: You can load restrict a bridge, but it's more of a deflection issue that's
going on and a deterioration issue that's going on. It's not a carrying capacity, so load restricting the bridge doesn't solve the problem of deterioration or it doesn't solve the problem of the settlement of $a$ substructure.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eric Gasperini.) Phil. AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Phil Crossman.) The reason some of us are apprehensive about whatever might be done to our bridges is because we've got 150 years of granite production history here in Vinalhaven and as a result we've produced seven of our own bridges. Five of them are historic artifacts and one of them has already been obliterated by the DOT coming down here and suggesting that if we sprayed it with ShotCrete that would take care of it and the result, as can you imagine, was disastrous. So I'm -- we're all apprehensive or many of us are apprehensive because of that kind of thing. You talked in the beginning about the undesirability of having a joint in the concrete surface, but I've seen bridges with joints that are 4 or 5 inches wide and they have a grid in the middle so the water goes down into whatever is below and doesn't damage the concrete, so why is the joint so dangerous in terms of deterioration?

MR. PERKINS: You know, the joints -- on large bridges, which it sounds like you're talking about long span bridges, the thermal movement requires a joint because the bridge expands in the heat and it shrinks in the cold and if you try to rigidly connect it to something it starts breaking everywhere. So that's what those joint do, they open and they close. Now, they are a problem and the DOT is constantly in there repairing deterioration below those joints and repairing concrete below those joints. They often build troughs underneath them to try to contain the water and direct it off the structure, those have to be maintained annually. They often fail causing, you know, the damage that happens to the steel. So in modern bridge engineering what we try to do is eliminate those things and there is a design philosophy for short span bridges, which I would call this one, is you go an integral or a continuous bridge and you eliminate the joint and you let the soil take the expansion and you can do that because the thermal movement on this is pretty small. It will be in $3 / 4$ quarters of an inch range rather than in the 3 or 4 inch range. So I would say that the joints that you've seen on big bridges damage is occurring, you may just not be
aware of it and the Department constantly has to maintain those.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eric Gasperini.) Dell.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: Dell Webster here,
Vinalhaven. I don't think -- do you ever use stainless rods in concrete?

MR. PERKINS: That's an option. Stainless and carbon fiber are options, non-corrosive rebar. AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Dell Webster.) I don't know how old the terminals are on both islands, not very old, and they certainly didn't figure out the reaction between concrete, salt water and steel rebar because if you look at those cubes that the big tubes go down to the bottom on, underneath the bottom of them there is rebar hanging down there all rusted to pieces and apparently a half a foot or so of concrete has disappeared. It's very visible on the one at North Haven. So if this bridge has any concrete under the -- well, it's going to be in a salt water environment, it seems like we ought to have something better than rusting steel for rebar.

MR. PERKINS: Mmm Hmm.
MR. KITTREDGE: I think that gets to the question that was asked about the design life, you know, 75 years, 100 years, I mean, the technology
they have today, the materials they have today, stainless bar, epoxy coated bar, epoxy, additives to the concrete, I mean, you know, precast material is always good. I know we're -- I've heard a lot of about granite, I understand that. My point is that we know that black bar and salt water environment is not the right thing to do. We do. Believe it or not, we do.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eric Gasperini.) Any other -- Kathy.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Kathy Warren.) I just have one more. If you answered this before, I can read the transcript, leave it out, but so the guardrail -- the surface living out there that was one of my larger safety issues, so is that any part of why this is an issue as far as replacement goes?

MR. PERKINS: Yes. Right. So there is the condition of the bridge. The bridge has become functionally obsolete and structurally deficient, so this bridge is considered functionally obsolete because of the safety features like the guardrail. So that is a consideration of as to why the bridge moves into replacement or rehabilitation option.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Kathy Warren.) It's a bigger deal in January through March than is it now,
but.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eric Gasperini.) Steve. AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Steve Rosen.) One more question. Steve Rosen. So you heard our opinions and you have your data, where do we go from here?

MR. PERKINS: Well, we're going to take all of these opinions. We'll meet with the Department of Transportation and we'll look at alternatives to what can be done to the bridge. Certainly rehabilitation of the bridge as is for historical requirements is going to be considered.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Steve Rosen.) And another meeting when you figure something out?

MR. PERKINS: That's right.
MR. KITTREDGE: Yes, we'll be back in -- I think in the schedule it showed about six months or so at the end of the year, we'll be coming back here to present, you know, what we heard tonight and the engineering that's been done in the interim to present, you know, this is what we heard, this is what we've done, you know, and get your comments on this.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Kathy Warren.) So if we wanted to do something with granite and the town can provide the granite, is that an option as far as
materials used goes?
MR. PERKINS: Yeah. Absolutely.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eric Gasperini.) All right. George.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (George Kendrick.) Sorry, one last question. What's your permitting time table? Are you looking at 50 percent design to go or are you expecting a Tier 1? What are you thinking about?

MR. KITTREDGE: I, honestly, George, I can't comment on that. I did not know. I mean, we are really in the beginning stages. The handout that you see, which kind of goes to that today, I mean, that's where we're at.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (George Kendrick.) Okay.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eric Gasperini.) And, please, before you leave there is a sign-in sheet here. They would --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Elizabeth Bunker.) Did anybody not sign that needs to?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eric Gasperini.) None of us have. At least I haven't.

MR. KITTREDGE: Eric, if I may, just before we break here. So I heard granite, I heard curvature. I heard elevations. We don't want bigger
boats. We want to minimize impacts. Is there anything else that --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Steve Rosen.) Single lane.

MR. KITTREDGE: Single lane, thank you.
Anything else that we need to know going out of here?
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Ruth Cutler.)
Aesthetically nice.
MR. KITTREDGE: Granite. But what does that mean, aesthetically nice, what does that mean?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Cay Kendrick.) Granite crib work.

MR. KITTREDGE: Granite. Yes, sir.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yeah, my name is Rick Morgan, summer resident on Lane's Island Road. And I just want to point out this two-page spread from Downeast magazine in 2009, which is a photograph featuring the Lane's Island Bridge. And this is the "Where in Maine" feature that they run every month and then you have to guess where it is and you get letters from different people.

MR. KITTREDGE: How did you do?
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Rick Morgan.) Well, they got a lot of good letters. But it just illustrates the significance of this bridge and what Lane's

Island and Indian Creek to the island and to many people who come to the island as we started coming some 35 years ago and it's part of the reason that we bought a house here and have been spending summers here since we retired. So I just think it's important to be aware of what this means to this community, but also to the whole state of Maine as a way of attracting people. It's the reason why there is parades of people walking past our house in spring, summer, early fall and they stop at the bridge and look at the view and at the bridge itself because it's so beautiful and that's why this is important to us.

MR. KITTREDGE: Thank you for that.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Cay Kendrick.) I think there is also going to be an otter den in one of the abutments. Yeah, Kirk Gentalen knows about it or he told me he thinks it's there. Sorry, but I saw an otter swimming right in front of our house and I saw it go up in an abutment and disappear and I asked him and he said they have a place that they hang out there.

MR. KITTREDGE: Thanks for your indulgence.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eric Gasperini.) Thank you all for coming. We are going to have a
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selectmens' meeting immediately following, so if you do plan on leaving, if you could do so as quietly as possible and if you -- obviously, if you want to stay. And I would like to thank everybody for coming out from the state.
(Meeting concluded at 7:10 p.m.)
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